



Digitalization & Security

Hazard Based Protection of Gas Infrastructure Facilities against Cyber-Physical Attacks


New Generation of AI Techniques Applied to Third Party Interference and Leakage Detection on Pipelines

From Pipelines to Home Heating: The Digital Transformation of Natural Gas Distribution

How to select the right Leak Detection Methods to cover Legal and Operational Requirements

Comparative Assessment of Levelised Cost of Different Hydrogen Transportation Technologies

Circular Economy Concept for Aboveground Storage Tanks - Change In Service



A Spotlight on the Future: Young Professionals Shaping the Pipeline Industry

As Editor of the Pipeline Technology Journal I am proud to announce a new column in the ptj. In our Pipeline People segment on the ptj website we already have interviewed young professionals in the pipeline industry. But with the **ptj Young Pipeliner** column we add another way of supporting the new generation of people who have decided to work in this field and give them a opportunity to reach out to pipeline professionals all over the world.



Constantin Schreiber
Managing Editor
EITEP Institute

The pipeline industry, a cornerstone of global infrastructure, is undergoing a transformation. As we look to the future, it's clear that innovation, sustainability, and technological advancements will be key drivers of change. However, the most critical factor in ensuring the industry's continued success is its people. In this column, we aim to shine a spotlight on the young professionals who are joining the pipeline industry and shaping its future.

These young engineers, technicians, and other specialists bring fresh perspectives, new ideas, and a deep understanding of emerging technologies. They are the innovators who will develop the next generation of systems and programs, the problem-solvers who will find creative solutions to complex challenges, and the leaders who will guide the industry into a sustainable future.

In each installment of this column, we will feature papers of young pipeliners who are making a contribution to the pipeline industry. Ultimately, the goal of this column is to be a spotlight that highlights the ideas and innovations coming from this new generation of pipeline professionals.

Sincerely Yours,

Constantin Schreiber
Managing Editor
EITEP Institute



Validation of ILI Performance, the Importance of ILI Validation in the Energy Transition to Hydrogen Fuels

T. OLDFIELD > ROSEN GROUP

Abstract

Validation of ILI performance is a critical component of any in-line inspection campaign to ensure that the ILI performance specification has been achieved. This allows high confidence integrity decisions to be made using the ILI data. The issues posed by the energy transition and the introduction of Hydrogen into existing gas networks present acute challenges for the accurate sizing of crack-like features and the subsequent validation of ILI performance in accordance with API 1163.

This paper discusses the current challenges of validating crack-like defects and the implications of the current industry practice with regards to the requirements of the Hydrogen transition.

1. Introduction

In-line inspection (ILI) tools can measure a range of different defect types with a high degree of accuracy especially considering the harsh environments that the tools operate in. However, there are occasions when the specification of the tool can not be met due to the operating conditions, feature specific morphology and other factors. It is essential therefore to understand if the tool has performed within its specification on a run by run basis. In the US this is a legal requirement under PHMSA, but is seen as best practice in most other countries around the world.

Validation of crack like defects is a manual process which is significantly influenced by the procedure utilised and the skill and experience of the in-field operator. Significant variability can therefore be seen in the tolerances of these inspections which has significant implications on the validation of the ILI tool.

The introduction of Hydrogen into the gas networks will reduce the critical flaw size of crack-like indications due to the potential embrittlement of the material. The inclusion of additional uncertainty in the ILI tolerance could be detrimental to the integrity of the pipeline network.

If in-field verification of an unknown quality is used to validate the ILI for hydrogen conversion pipelines, the additional uncertainty that can be attributed to crack sizing of unknow quality can be significant enough to cause failure in a number of features in cross country pipelines.

2. ILI Validation

Despite advances in technology, ILI validation is still a very manual process and the tolerances associated with each feature are significantly influenced by user skill and experience as well as technology selected. User variance is most significant in crack sizing where there are a number of different technologies that have the capability to size cracks all with pros and cons for various morphologies and combined feature types.

However, independent user tolerance is rarely known in in-service inspections due to the variability and challenges with understanding system performance.

Therefore conservative tolerances should be adopted, like the ones seen in BS 7910. These are fine to use for individual integrity assessments as the conservative nature of the measurement means that safe repair options will be utilised. However applying these conservative tolerances to ILI validation measurements puts a significant amount of uncertainty in the ILI measurement. A ± 3 mm tolerance for shear wave UT is recommended by BS 7190 [1], which at a 1 mm detection threshold would make most features unacceptable in cross country hydrogen pipeline.

In order to reduce the tolerance of the in-field inspection, a blind trial is required to understand specific inspector tolerance using the chosen inspection system.




Figure 1: Potential tolerances of various feature types

3. Hydrogen Effect on Validation

The EPRG review on integrity assessment methods for hydrogen conversion [2] discusses how the tolerable crack dimensions are affected by the introduction of hydrogen into the gas networks with various Charpy toughness's and are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Tolerable seam weld axial cracks for transmission pipelines

The introduction of hydrogen will reduce the tolerable feature size for crack depths (especially for weld of low toughness's), which means that the confidence in the ILI tolerances are more important because there is less scope for uncertainty in the assessments.

4. Validation to API 1163

When validating ILI performance to API 1163 [3] a validated feature is considered within specification if the following criteria is met:

$$\delta e_{comb} = \sqrt{[\delta(\frac{d}{t})_{ILI}]^2 + [\delta(\frac{d}{t})_{FIELD}]^2} \quad (1)$$

Equation 1 has numerous implications but the most significant in this instance is that bigger the in-field tolerance can result in a measurement which is acceptable, but inadvertently lowers the confidence in the ILI measurements.

Therefore a validation tolerance which has minimal influence (Ideally less than 10% of the stated ILI tolerance) on the combined tolerance is the only way to guarantee the ILI specification is representative of the validation.




Figure 3: Influence of field tolerance on the combined tolerance


5. Conclusion

The introduction of Hydrogen into the gas network is going to reduce the tolerable crack size which means that having higher confidence in the ILI measurement and tolerance will become more critical to avoid failures. This can only be achieved in-field by understanding the tolerance of the individual inspectors and creating a small influence on the combined tolerance from API 1163. This can be accomplished by blind trials on individual inspectors.

References

1. British Standard, BS 7910, Guide to methods for assessing the acceptability of flaws in metallic structures 2022.
2. EPRG, Project number 14223, Integrity assessment method review, 2022.
3. API 1163, In-line Inspection Systems Qualification, 2021.

AUTHORS



Tom Oldfield
ROSEN Group
Service Manager – Field Verification
toldfield@rosen-group.com

Elevate your asset integrity with unmatched data accuracy and unparalleled expertise. Our unique synergy of advanced inspection systems, cutting-edge analytics and human expertise allows us to transform data into knowledge. Knowledge enables smarter decision-making and delivers a comprehensive understanding of asset safety, lifetime, and performance.

www.rosen-group.com

Unlock the Power of Data



ROSEN

empowered by technology